plays its usual role of counter-ion. Its geometry is normal (see supplementary material). This Co<sup>1</sup> compound is isomorphous with  $[Ni(PMe_3)_4]BPh_4$  (Gleizes, Dartiguenave, Dartiguenave, Galy & Klein, 1977), but the tetrahedral environment of the metal [P-Co- $P = 101 \cdot 1 (1) - 125 \cdot 4 (1)^\circ$ , Table 2 and Fig. 1] is more distorted than in the Ni<sup>11</sup> complex [P-Ni-P] = $104.6(1)-119.9(1)^{\circ}$ ]. Similar distortions are also present in the related four-coordinate Co<sup>I</sup> molecules  $CoCl(PMe_3)_3$  [Cl-Co-P = 113.8 (2)°, P-Co-P = 104.8 (3)° (Jones, Stuart, Atwood & Hunter, 1983)] and  $CoI(PMe_3)_3$  [I-Co-P = 119.26 (6)-109.77 (4)°,  $P-Co-P = 105 \cdot 24$  (4)-106 \cdot 84 (7)° (Bandy, Green & Kirchner, 1985)], and they probably result from the phosphine methyl groups being interlocked to minimize steric hindrances about the metal. The bond lengths in the  $[Co(PMe_3)_4]^+$  ion [av. 2.227 Å] remain roughly the same as in the iodo [av. 2.235 Å] and chloro [2.240 Å] compounds.

We are grateful to M. J. Oliver for collecting the X-ray data.

## References

- AUTHIER-MARTIN, M. & BEAUCHAMP, A. L. (1977). Can. J. Chem. 55, 1213–1217.
- BANDY, J. A., GREEN, J. C. & KIRCHNER, O. N. (1985). Acta Cryst. C41, 1179-1181.
- BÉLANGER-GARIÉPY, F. & BEAUCHAMP, A. L. (1980). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102, 3461-3464.
- CROMER, D. T. & LIBERMAN, D. (1970). J. Chem. Phys. 53, 1891-1898.
- CROMER, D. T. & MANN, J. B. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 321-324.
- DE CARVALHO, L. C. A., DARTIGUENAVE, M., DARTIGUENAVE, Y. & BEAUCHAMP, A. L. (1984). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106, 6848-6849.
- GLEIZES, A., DARTIGUENAVE, M., DARTIGUENAVE, Y., GALY, J. & KLEIN, H. F. (1977). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 5187-5189.
- JONES, R. A., STUART, A. L., ATWOOD, A. L. & HUNTER, W. E. (1983). J. Cryst. Spectrosc. Res. 13, 273–278.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175–3187.

Acta Cryst. (1989). C45, 161-163

## Structure of a Nickel(II) Complex of 18-Crown-6 at 163 K

By S. B. Larson,\* S. H. Simonsen, J. N. Ramsden<sup>†</sup> and J. J. Lagowski

Department of Chemistry, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA

(Received 15 June 1988; accepted 18 August 1988)

Abstract. Tris(ethanol)(1,4,7,10,13,16-hexaoxacyclooctadecane)nickel(II) bis(hexafluorophosphate),  $[Ni(C_2H_6O)_3(C_{12}H_{24}O_6)](PF_6)_2, M_r = 751.16, mono$ clinic,  $P2_1/c$ , a = 8.976 (2), b = 16.533 (4), c =22.230 (6) Å,  $\beta = 109.37$  (3)°, V = 3112.2 (14) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $D_x = 1.603 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\lambda$ (Mo Ka) = 0.71069 Å,  $\mu$  $= 8.483 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , F(000) = 1552, R = 0.0404 for 4161 reflections ( $F \ge 6\sigma_F$ ). The Ni<sup>2+</sup> ion is coordinated to three adjacent O atoms of the crown (O1, O4, O7) and to the O atoms of three ethanol solvate molecules, the O atoms forming a distorted octahedron. The range of Ni–O distances is 1.991(2)–2.114(3) Å. Two ethanol molecules are hydrogen bonded to crown O atoms and the third is hydrogen bonded to an F atom of one  $PF_{6}$ ion. The crown has approximate mirror symmetry. The 01-04-07 and 010-013-016 planes have a dihedral angle of  $106 \cdot 2$  (2)°. The average crown C–O bond lengths are 1.448 (2) Å for Ni-bound O atoms and 1.426 (4) Å for non-Ni-bound O atoms. The average C-O bond length in the ethanol molecules is 1.448 (7) Å. All C-C bonds have lengths in the range 1.489–1.505 Å.

**Experimental.** The title compound was prepared by the reaction of 18-crown-6 with Ni(EtOH)<sub>6</sub>(PF<sub>6</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in ethanol and ethyl orthoformate (EOF). Ni(EtOH)<sub>6</sub>-(PF<sub>6</sub>)<sub>2</sub> was prepared by the reaction of NiCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O with AgPF<sub>6</sub> in ethanol and EOF. A pale-green crystal was mounted in a capillary tube with grease using a Schlenk apparatus to exclude moisture. The grease prevented an accurate measurement of crystal size; the maximum dimension was 0.5 mm. A summary of data collection and structural refinement is given in Table 1.



13 atoms obtained from *MULTAN*78 (Main, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq & Woolfson, 1978) were © 1989 International Union of Crystallography

<sup>\*</sup> Present address: Nucleic Acid Research Institute, 3300 Hyland Avenue, Costa Mesa, CA 92626, USA.

<sup>†</sup> Present address: ICI, Runcorn, Cheshire, England.

(a) Data collection (163 K) <sup>i,ii</sup>			x	у	z	$U_{\rm eq}^{\dagger}({\rm \AA}^2)$
Mode	(i) scan	Ni	0.11850 (5)	0.27091 (2)	0-41225 (2)	0-01906 (14)
Scan range	Symmetrically over 1.0° about	O(1)	0.1332 (3)	0-22757 (14)	0.50284 (10)	0.0241 (8)
Scall Tallge	Ka may	C(2)	0.2763 (5)	0-2574 (2)	0.5501 (2)	0.0301 (13)
	$\Delta u_{1,2}$ max.	C(3)	0.2962 (5)	0-3436 (2)	0.5331 (2)	0.0284 (13)
Background	Onset 1.0 and $-1.0^{\circ}$ in $\omega$ from	O(4)	0.2950 (3)	0-34235 (13)	0-46798 (11)	0.0239 (8)
	$K\alpha_{1,2}$ max.	C(5)	0.2933 (5)	0.4208 (2)	0.4389 (2)	0.0300 (14)
Scan rate (° min <sup>-1</sup> )	3-0-6-0	C(6)	0.2813 (5)	0-4044 (2)	0.3709 (2)	0.0299 (14)
Exposure time (h)	69-3	O(7)	0.1454 (3)	0-35287 (14)	0.34360 (11)	0.0254 (9)
Stability analysis		C(8)	0.0949 (5)	0-3458 (2)	0.2750 (2)	0.0290 (13)
Computed s. t	-0.000119	C(9)	0.1716 (5)	0.2780 (2)	0.2512 (2)	0.0289 (13)
<b>-</b>	0.000003	O(10)	0.1083 (3)	0.20245 (15)	0.26211 (11)	0.0261(9)
Correction range on I	0.994-1.001	C(11)	0.1739 (5)	0.1343(2)	0.2406(2)	0.0278(14)
	4.0.50.0	C(12)	0.1136 (5)	0.0592(2)	0.2619(2)	0.0283(13)
20 range (*)	0.0.27	0(13)	0.1691 (3)	0.05775(14)	0.32982(11) 0.3556(2)	0.0200(9)
Range in <i>hki</i> , min.	0, 0, -27	C(14)	0.1226 (4)	-0.0136(2)	0.3330(2)	0.0293(14) 0.0276(12)
max.	11, 20, 25	C(15)	0.1800 (4)	-0.0000 (2)	0.44260 (2)	0.0270 (13)
Total reflections measured, unique	5477, 5477	0(16)	0.1007(3)	0.00007(13)	0.44331(11)	0.0200 (3)
Absorption correction	Not applied	C(17)	0.1391(3)	0.1525 (2)	0.5215 (2)	0.0283 (14)
		0(18)	0.0770(3)	0.1323 (2)	0.42566(13)	0.0203 (14)
(b) Structure refinement <sup>iii</sup>		0(19)		0.3470 (2)	0.2765 (2)	0.035 (2)
Instability factor n	0.04	C(20)	-0.1830(3)	0.3610(3)	0.3941(2)	0.045(2)
$P_{r}$	4161	O(21)	-0.2219 (3)	0.4035(3)	0.34454(13)	0.0259 (9)
Renections used $(r \ge 0\sigma_F)$	4101	C(22)	0.1906 (4)	0.1687(3)	0.3408 (2)	0.0309(14)
No. of variables	547	C(23)	0.3000 (4)	0.1763 (3)	0.2756 (2)	0.047(2)
Goodness of fit, S	1.769	O(25)	0.2833 (3)	0.1904 (2)	0.40639(12)	0.0242(9)
R, wR	0.0404, 0.0504	C(25)	0.2833(3) 0.4482(4)	0.1997(2)	0.4128 (2)	0.0278(13)
R for all data	0.0586	C(20)	0.5430 (5)	0.1288 (3)	0.4456 (2)	0.037(2)
Max, shift/e.s.d.	< 0.02	P(1)	0.20679(11)	0.83042 (6)	-0.06854 (5)	0.0310(4)
Max., min. density in difference	1.040.45	F(IPI)	0.0721 (3)	0.7710(2)	-0.10864(13)	0.0631 (11)
man (e $\bar{A}^{-3}$ )		F(2P1)	0.2151(3)	0.78240 (15)	-0.00421 (11)	0.0431 (9)
mup (or )		F(3P1)	0.3404 (3)	0.89061 (15)	-0.02636 (13)	0.0517 (10)
Notes: (i) Unit-cell parameters	s were obtained by least-souares	F(4P1)	0.3389 (3)	0.7732 (2)	-0.07688 (13)	0.0564 (11)
aframent of the acting and	as of 60 reflections with 25 4 4	F(5P1)	0.0754 (3)	0.8883 (2)	-0.05659 (13)	0.0580 (11)

Table 1. Summary of data collection and structure Table 2. Atomic positions and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters refinement

refinement of the setting angles of 60 reflections with 25.  $2\theta < 30.4^{\circ}$ . (ii) Syntex P2, autodiffractometer with a graphite monochromator and a Syntex LT-1 inert-gas (N2) low-temperature delivery system. Data reduction was carried out as described by Riley & Davis (1976). Crystal and instrument stability were monitored by remeasurement of four check reflections after every 96 reflections. As detailed by Henslee & Davis (1975), these data were analyzed to relate intensity to exposure time by the equation  $y = 1.0 + sx + tx^2$ , where x is exposure time (h), y is fractional intensity relative to x = 0 and s and t are coefficients determined by least-squares fit. (iii) Function minimized was  $\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2$ , where  $w = \sigma_F^{-2}$ .  $\sigma_F = F\sigma_I/2I$ ;  $\sigma_I = [N_{pk} + N_{bg1} + N_{bg2} + (pI)^2]^{1/2}$ .

used initially. The model was improved with subsequent  $\Delta F$  maps. All H atoms were located in a difference map at R = 0.062 (0.36-0.71 e Å<sup>-3</sup>). All atomic positional parameters, anisotropic thermal parameters for non-H atoms and isotropic for H atoms were refined by full-matrix least squares [SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976)]. The structure was refined in two blocks, one of which contained the Ni and all crown atoms and the other the Ni and all the remaining atoms. Scattering factors and anomalous-dispersion corrections for all non-H atoms taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974); H scattering factors from Stewart, Davidson & Simpson (1965). Atomic parameters are listed in Table 2;\* bond lengths and bond angles in

C(9)	0.1/10(3)	0.2/00(2)	0.2312(2)	0.0203 (13)
O(10)	0.1083 (3)	0-20245 (15)	0.26211 (11)	0.0261 (9)
C(11)	0.1739 (5)	0.1343 (2)	0.2406 (2)	0.0278 (14)
C(12)	0.1136 (5)	0.0592 (2)	0-2619 (2)	0.0283 (13)
O(13)	0.1691 (3)	0.05775 (14)	0-32982 (11)	0.0266 (9)
C(14)	0.1226 (4)	-0.0136 (2)	0.3556 (2)	0.0295 (14)
C(15)	0.1800 (4)	-0.0060 (2)	0-4266 (2)	0-0276 (13)
O(16)	0.1007 (3)	0.06007 (15)	0-44351 (11)	0.0266 (9)
C(17)	0.1591 (5)	0.0782 (2)	0.5101 (2)	0-0287 (13)
C(18)	0.0770 (5)	0.1525 (2)	0.5215 (2)	0-0283 (14)
O(19)	-0.0474 (3)	0.3470 (2)	0.42566 (13)	0.0294 (10)
C(20)	-0.1836 (5)	0.3810(3)	0.3765 (2)	0.035 (2)
C(21)	-0.2219 (5)	0.4635 (3)	0.3941 (2)	0.045 (2)
O(22)	-0.0480 (3)	0.2117 (2)	0.34454 (13)	0-0259 (9)
C(23)	-0.1906 (4)	0.1687 (3)	0-3408 (2)	0.0309 (14)
C(24)	-0.3099 (6)	0.1763 (3)	0.2756 (2)	0.047 (2)
O(25)	0.2833 (3)	0.1904 (2)	0-40639 (12)	0.0242 (9)
C(26)	0-4482 (4)	0.1997 (2)	0.4128 (2)	0.0278 (13)
C(27)	0.5430 (5)	0-1288 (3)	0-4456 (2)	0.037 (2)
P(1)	0.20679 (11)	0.83042 (6)	-0.06854 (5)	0.0310 (4)
F(1P1)	0.0721 (3)	0.7710 (2)	-0-10864 (13)	0.0631 (11)
F(2P1)	0.2151 (3)	0.78240 (15)	-0.00421 (11)	0.0431 (9)
F(3P1)	0.3404 (3)	0.89061 (15)	-0·02636 (13)	0.0517 (10)
F(4P1)	0.3389 (3)	0.7732 (2)	-0.07688 (13)	0.0564 (11)
F(5P1)	0.0754 (3)	0.8883 (2)	-0·05659 (13)	0.0580 (11)
F(6P1)	0.1962 (3)	0.8806 (2)	-0.13064 (13)	0.0648 (12)
P(2)	0.46911 (13)	0.42024 (7)	0-19846 (5)	0.0393 (4)
F(1P2)	0.4571 (3)	0.4046 (2)	0-26671 (13)	0.0747 (13)
F(2P2)	0-6515 (3)	0.3948 (2)	0-22551 (12)	0.0515 (10)
F(3P2)	0-4893 (3)	0.4274 (2)	0-12935 (13)	0.0767 (14)
F(4P2)	0.2888 (3)	0.4414 (2)	0.1695 (2)	0.096 (2)
F(5P2)	0-5206 (4)	0.5099 (2)	0.2150 (2)	0.096 (2)
F(6P2)	0.4223 (4)	0.3272 (2)	0.18259 (14)	0.0718 (13)

 $U_{eq} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{ij} a_{i}^{*} a_{j}^{*} A_{ij}$ , where  $A_{ij}$  is the dot product of the *i*th and *j*th direct-space unit-cell vectors.

Table 3. Bond lengths (Å), bond angles (°) and torsion angles (°) in the crown and solvate molecules

	•	•				1 2 2 4
1	2	3	4	1-2	1 - 2 - 3	1-2-3-4
O(1)	C(2)	C(3)	O(4)	1-450 (4)	107.0 (3)	55.4 (4)
C(2)	C(3)	O(4)	C(5)	1.500 (6)	106-0 (3)	172-8 (3)
C(3)	O(4)	C(5)	C(6)	1-445 (5)	115-5 (3)	-176-3 (3)
O(4)	C(5)	C(6)	O(7)	1.447 (4)	105-9 (3)	54-0 (4)
C(5)	C(6)	O(7)	C(8)	1-505 (6)	107.3 (3)	166-7 (3)
C(6)	O(7)	C(8)	C(9)	1.446 (4)	114-6 (3)	89.6 (4)
O(7)	C(8)	C(9)	O(10)	1.446 (4)	114-4 (3)	73-3 (4)
C(8)	C(9)	O(10)	C(11)	1.500 (6)	109.8 (4)	179.7 (3)
C(9)	O(10)	C(11)	C(12)	1.427 (5)	113.8 (3)	173-1 (3)
O(10)	C(11)	C(12)	O(13)	1.425 (5)	108.6 (4)	-63-3 (4)
C(11)	C(12)	O(13)	C(14)	1.492 (6)	108.3 (3)	-177.9 (3)
C(12)	O(13)	C(14)	C(15)	1.425 (4)	113.1 (3)	-177.1 (3)
O(13)	C(14)	C(15)	O(16)	1.432 (5)	108.1 (3)	64.6 (4)
C(14)	C(15)	O(16)	C(17)	1.494 (5)	108.4 (3)	-173.7 (3)
C(15)	O(16)	C(17)	C(18)	1.420 (5)	113.0 (3)	175-3 (3)
O(16)	C(17)	C(18)	O(1)	1-429 (4)	108.4 (3)	-76-2 (4)
C(17)	C(18)	O(1)	C(2)	1.496 (6)	114.6 (4)	-81.2 (4)
C(18)	O(1)	C(2)	C(3)	1.451 (5)	113.1 (2)	-165.5 (3)
O(19)	C(20)	C(21)		1.455 (5)	111.4 (3)	
C(20)	C(21)			1.490 (7)		
O(22)	C(23)	C(24)		1-442 (5)	110.7 (4)	
C(23)	C(24)	- ( )		1.494 (6)		
0(25)	C(26)	C(27)		1.447 (5)	111.4 (3)	
C(26)	Č(27)	- ( )		1.489 (6)		
- (- •)	- ( )					

Tables 3 and 4. Atom labeling is given in Fig. 1. Molecular packing is shown in Fig. 2. Principal computer programs are cited by Gadol & Davis (1982).

<sup>\*</sup> Tables of anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom parameters, bond lengths and angles involving the H atoms, bond lengths and angles in the hexafluorophosphate ions and structure-factor amplitudes have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 51346 (42 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

 Table 4. Distances (Å) and angles (°) in the Ni<sup>2+</sup> coordination sphere and hydrogen bonding

1	2	3	1-2	1-2-3
O(1)	Ni	O(4)	2.100 (2)	79.95 (10)
O(1)	Ni	O(7)		157-69 (9)
O(1)	Ni	O(19)		83-54 (11)
0(1)	Ni	O(22)		110-48 (11)
O(4)	Ni	O(7)	2.034 (2)	79-34 (9)
O(4)	Ni	O(19)		90-66 (10)
O(4)	Ni	O(22)		169-57 (11)
O(4)	Ni	O(25)		89.02 (10)
O(7)	Ni	O(19)	2.114 (3)	88-50 (11)
O(7)	Ni	O(22)		90-36 (10)
O(7)	Ni	O(25)		96+54 (11)
O(19)	Ni	O(22)	2.046 (3)	90.73 (11)
O(19)	Ni	O(25)		174.80 (12)
O(22)	Ni	O(25)	1.991 (2)	90.53 (11)
O(25)	Ni	0(1)	2.026 (3)	91-29 (11)
O(19)	H(19)	F(2P1)*	0-65 (6)	161-(5)
O(19)	F(2P1)*		2.863 (4)	
H(19)	F(2P1)*		2.24 (5)	
O(22)	H(22)	O(10)	0.69 (4)	162- (4)
O(22)	O(10)		2.656 (4)	
H(22)	O(10)		2.03 (4)	
O(25)	H(25)	O(13)	0.73 (4)	176- (4)
O(25)	O(13)		2.757 (3)	
H(25)	O(13)		1.99 (4)	

\* Related to coordinates in Table 2 by -x,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} - z$ .



Fig. 1. Perspective drawing indicating atom labeling, Ni<sup>2+</sup> coordination and hydrogen bonding. The dihedral angles between the 019–022–025 plane and the planes 01–04–07 and 010–013–016 are 85.13 (9) and 68.48 (13)°, respectively. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

**Related literature.** No all-oxygen six-coordinate Ni complexes have been reported previously (Cambridge Structural Database, 1987). Mixed-donor crown-6 structures have been reported. These include mixed N and P (Ciampolini, Nardi, Zanobini, Cini & Orioli, 1983; Mealli, Sabat, Zanobini, Ciampolini & Nardi, 1985), mixed O and P (Dapporto, Ciampolini, Nardi &



Fig. 2. Perspective view of the molecular packing as viewed down the *a* axis.

Zanobini, 1983), and mixed S and P (Ciampolini, Nardi, Dapporto & Zanobini, 1984; Ciampolini, Nardi, Dapporto, Innocenti & Zanobini, 1984; Ciampolini, Dapporto, Nardi & Zanobini, 1980).

This work was supported by the Robert A. Welch Foundation (SBL and SHS, grant F-017) and the National Science Foundation (JJL). JNR acknowledges support from a NATO fellowship.

## References

- Cambridge Structural Database (1987). Univ. Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge, England.
- CIAMPOLINI, M., DAPPORTO, P., NARDI, N. & ZANOBINI, F. (1980). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 45, L239–L240.
- CIAMPOLINI, M., NARDI, N., DAPPORTO, P., INNOCENTI, P. & ZANOBINI, F. (1984). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 575–579.
- CIAMPOLINI, M., NARDI, N., DAPPORTO, P. & ZANOBINI, F. (1984). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 995–998.
- CIAMPOLINI, M., NARDI, N., ZANOBINI, F., CINI, R. & ORIOLI, P. L. (1983). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 76, L17–L19.
- DAPPORTO, P., CIAMPOLINI, M., NARDI, N. & ZANOBINI, F. (1983). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 76, L153–L154.
- GADOL, S. M. & DAVIS, R. E. (1982). Organometallics, 1, 1607-1613.
- HENSLEE, W. H. & DAVIS, R. E. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 1511-1519.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- MAIN, P., HULL, S. E., LESSINGER, L., GERMAIN, G., DECLERCQ, J.-P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1978). MULTAN78. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- MEALLI, C., SABAT, M., ZANOBINI, F., CIAMPOLINI, M. & NARDI, N. (1985). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 479–485.
- RILEY, P. E. & DAVIS, R. E. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 381-386.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175–3187.